

### Double Patenting

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejects Claims 2, 20, 25, 26, 31, 32 and 65-71 on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-39 of US 6,975,298 in view of Bell (US 4,996,523). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

While Applicants traverse this rejection, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants are filing herewith a terminal disclaimer over the '298 patent and terminal disclaimer fee. Accordingly, this rejection has been overcome, and it is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

### Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and should be allowed.

If any further fee is due for this response or the terminal disclaimer, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 30, 2007

/Mark J. Murphy/  
Mark J. Murphy  
Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,  
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.  
200 West Adams Street  
Suite 2850  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 236-8500  
Customer No. 26568